

## Independent Programme Review Report

<b>Provider name</b>	DBS
<b>Date of site visit</b>	29 <sup>th</sup> April 2019
<b>Date of report</b>	11 <sup>th</sup> June 2019

<b>Principal programme</b>	<b>Title</b>	Certificate in Digital Marketing
	<b>Award</b>	Special Purpose Award in Arts
	<b>Credit</b>	40 ECTS
	<b>Duration<sup>1</sup></b> <i>(years, months, weeks)</i>	Part-time: 2 semesters of 12 weeks each, evening lectures across eight months. Full-time: 2 semesters of 12 weeks each, day lecturers across 8 months

1	Introduction .....	4
2	Independent Review Process.....	5
2.1	Evidence Perused.....	5
2.2	Agenda .....	7
2.3	Persons Met .....	8
	Staff, Students and Graduates with whom the Panel Met .....	8
3	Review of the Programme Review Report.....	10
3.1	Fitness for Purpose of the Programme .....	10
3.2	Achievement of the Programme of its Stated Objectives .....	10
3.3	Learner Profile.....	10
3.4	Learner Performance .....	11
3.5	Quality of the Learning Environment.....	12
3.6	Suitability of Learner Workload .....	12
3.7	Effectiveness of Procedures for Assessment .....	12
3.8	Quality Assurance Arrangements .....	13
3.9	Proposed Modifications .....	13
4	Evaluation of the Modified Programme .....	14
4.1	Report .....	14
5	Outcome of the Review .....	15
5.1	Summary .....	15
6	Panel.....	16
7	Appendix 1: independent Programme Review Report.....	17
	Part 1.....	17
	Evaluators .....	18
	<b>7.1 Certificate in Digital Marketing.....</b>	<b>18</b>
	Other noteworthy features of the application .....	20
	7.1 Criterion1: The provider is eligible to apply for validation of the programme.....	21
	7.2 Criterion 2: The programme objectives and outcomes are clear and consistent with the QQI awards sought .....	22
	7.3 Criterion 3: The programme concept, implementation strategy, and its interpretation of QQI awards standards are well informed and soundly based (considering social, cultural, educational, professional and employment objectives).....	24
	7.4 Criterion 4: The programme’s access, transfer and progression arrangements are satisfactory.....	27
	7.5 Criterion 5: The programme’s written curriculum is well structured and fit-for-purpose...29	

7.6	Criterion 6: There are sufficient qualified and capable programme staff available to implement the programme as planned .....	31
7.7	Criterion 7: There are sufficient physical resources to implement the programme as planned .....	33
7.8	Criterion 8: The learning environment is consistent with the needs of the programme’s learners .....	35
7.9	Criterion 9: There are sound teaching and learning strategies .....	36
7.10	Criterion 10: There are sound assessment strategies.....	38
7.11	Criterion 11: Learners enrolled on the programme are well informed, guided and cared for 40	
7.12	Criterion 12: The programme is well managed .....	42
8	Overall recommendation to DBS .....	44
	Certificate in Digital Marketing .....	44
8.1	Reasons for the overall recommendation .....	44
8.2	Summary of recommendations .....	45
8.3	Summary of commendations.....	45
9	Declarations of Evaluators’ Interests.....	46
9.1	Disclaimer.....	46
	Part 3: Proposed programme schedule .....	47
10	Appendix 2: Agenda .....	48

## 1 Introduction

The scope of the review encompassed the Certificate in Digital Marketing, which is a Special Purpose Award. It is part of the government-funded Springboard Programme, aimed at upskilling people to meet the needs of the economy and of business generally.

As detailed in QQI's *Core Statutory Quality Assurance (QA) Guidelines* (pp 11–12) and the *Programme Review Manual 2016/2017*, programme monitoring and review is taken as an opportunity to:

- Ensure that the programme remains appropriate, and to create a supportive and effective learning environment
- Ensure that the programme achieves the objectives set for it and responds to the needs of learners and the changing needs of society
- Review the learner workload
- Review learner progression and completion rates
- Review the effectiveness of procedures for the assessment of learners
- Inform updates of the programme content; delivery modes; teaching and learning methods; learning supports and resources; and information provided to learners
- Update third party, industry or other stakeholders relevant to the programme(s)
- Review quality assurance arrangements that are specific to that programme

### Objectives of the Programme Review

The QQI *Programme Review Manual 2016/2017* states that the specific objectives of a Programme Review are to evaluate the programme as implemented in light of the provider's experience of providing the programme over the previous five years with a view to determining:

- (1) What has been learned about the programme, as an evolving process (by which learners acquire knowledge, skill and competence), from the experience of providing it for the past five or so years?
- (2) What can be concluded from a quantitative analysis of admission data, attrition rates by stage, completion rates and grades achieved by module, stage and overall?
- (3) What reputation do the programme and provider have with stakeholders (learners, staff, funding agencies, regulatory bodies, professional bodies, communities of practice, employers, other education and training providers) and in particular what views do the stakeholders have about the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats concerning the programme's history and its future?
- (4) What challenges and opportunities are likely to arise in the next five years and what modifications to the programme are required in light of these?
- (5) Whether the programme in light of its stated objectives and intended learning outcomes demonstrably addresses explicit learning needs of target learners and society?
- (6) What other modifications need to be made to the programme and its awards to improve or reorient it?
- (7) Whether the programme (modified or unmodified) meets the current QQI validation criteria (and sub-criteria) or, if not, what modifications need to be made to the programme to meet the current criteria?

- (8) Whether the provider continues to have the capacity and capability to provide the programme as planned (considering, for example, historical and projected enrolment numbers and profile and availability and adequacy of physical, financial and human resources) without risk of compromising educational standards or quality of provision in light of its other commitments (i.e. competing demands) and strategy?
- (9) What is the justification (or otherwise) for the provider continuing to offer the programme (modified or unmodified)?
- (10) What changes need to be made to related policies, criteria and procedures (including QA procedures)?

## 2 Independent Review Process

### 2.1 Evidence Perused

The review process for the programmes was led by the Programme Leaders with the Programme Team in order to critically analyse all aspects of these programmes. The consultation embraced a wide range of relevant issues including:

- Programme rationale
- Programme aims, objectives and learning outcomes
- Programme structure
- Module choice and content
- Teaching, learning and assessment methodologies
- Access, transfer and progression

The guiding principles underpinning this review were:

- That assessment of learning achieved shall adhere to the relevant QQI Assessment and Standards Revised 2013
- That the proposal for the programmatic review of the programmes has been developed and approved internally as a result of the DBS quality assurance procedures
- That the proposed programme will assist DBS and the School of Arts in the achievement of DBS's mission and strategy
- That the programme learning outcomes will meet the needs of current and future learners, employers and other stakeholders
- That teaching and learning or research activity at any level shall be conducted in a manner morally and professionally ethical

The Programme Team has engaged in a significant consultative process to ensure that the programmes provide an appropriate and relevant mix of academic content and practical application to address the needs of the various stakeholders. This process was informed by consultation with internal and external stakeholders, including current learners, external examiners, employer organisations, faculty, current reports by government agencies on labour force requirements, as well as a competitor analysis of similar programmes, in so far as these were available. See Section 7.2 of this report for more information

The results and conclusions of this review process informed the proposed changes to the programmes which are outlined in this report. DBS provided the panel with a self-evaluation reports for each programme (hereafter referred to as Programme Review Reports) and access to documentation before and during the site visit. Requests for further documentation were facilitated in a timely manner and supported by further explanations where appropriate.

### Membership of Provider's Review Team

<b>Name review</b>	<b>Job title with Provider</b>
Alan Morgan	Course Director, Lecturer
Dermot Gallagher	Lecturer
Lynn Monaghan	Lecturer
Dr Heikki Laiho	Lecturer
Keeling Lee	Lecturer
Ray McDonnell	Lecturer
Ray Whelan	Lecturer
Mark Power	Lecturer
Ronan Frawley	Lecturer
Dr Michael Maguire	Lecturer
Ieva Masevic	Lecturer
John Nobel	Lecturer
Niamh Hickey	Lecturer
Emma Olohan	Lecturer
Victoria Doyle	Lecturer
Jacklyn Tully	Lecturer
Dr Chantal Ladias	Lecturer
Juan Pablo Fravego	Lecturer
Dr Shakeel Sidiqi	Lecturer

John Staunton	Lecturer
Stephen Kearney	Lecturer
Naomi Kendall	Lecturer
Aisling Duhý	Lecturer
Gary Bernie	Lecturer
Ian Sherwin	Lecturer
Emma Balfe	Head of Faculty and School Operations
Darragh Breathnach	Head of Academic Operations
Lori Johnston	Registrar
Dr Martin Doris	Assistant Registrar
Dr Kerry McCall Magan	Head of Academic Programmes
Dr Lee Richardson	Data Analytics and Reporting Manager
Shane Mooney	Head of Student Experience
Jane Buggle	Librarian
Grant Goodwin	QA Officer
Mary Fenlon	Programme Coordinator
Sarah Sharkey	Student Retention Officer

2.2 Agenda  
See Appendix 2.

## 2.3 Persons Met

### Staff, Students and Graduates with whom the Panel Met

#### Dialogue on Learning Opportunities:

Alan Morgan	Course Director
Michael Maguire	Course Director
Kerry McCall Magan	Head of Academic Programmes
Emma Balfe	Head of Faculty and School (Acting)
Shane Mooney	Head of Student Experience
Lori Johnston	Registrar
Tanya Balfe	Admissions Manager
Jane Buggle	Deputy Librarian
Martin Doris	Assistant Registrar
Mary Nolan	Programme Leader
David Kenny	Lecturer
John Noble	Lecturer

#### Standards and Participation in the Programmes

Alan Morgan	Course Director
Michael Maguire	Course Director
Kerry McCall Magan	Head of Academic Programmes
Shane Mooney	Head of Student Experience
Lori Johnston	Registrar
Tanya Balfe	Admissions Manager
Grant Goodwin	Quality Assurance Officer
Mary Nolan	Programme Leader
Tony Murphy	Head of Quality Enhancement, and Innovation in Teaching and Learning

#### Programme team

Name	Job Title with the Provider
Alan Morgan	Course Director
Michael Maguire	Course Director
Mary Nolan	Programme Leader
Niamh Hickey	Lecturer
Ray McDonnell	Lecturer
Emma Olohan	Lecturer
Victoria Doyle	Lecturer
Niamh Hickey	Lecturer
Mark Power	Lecturer

#### Facilities Review

Shane Mooney	Head of Student Experience
--------------	----------------------------



## Learners and Graduates

Rhona Byrne	Graduate
Katarzyna Hasnik	Current student
Stephen Fahey	Current student
Dave Boylan	Graduate
Nathalie M-Rose	Graduate
John Paul Le Bon	Graduate
Gerry Murphy	Graduate
Dave Murphy	Graduate

### 3 Review of the Programme Review Report

#### 3.1 Fitness for Purpose of the Programme

The panel evaluated the observations, comments and suggestions from internal and external stakeholders and found that these were duly factored into the review process. Internal stakeholders consisted of students and staff (academic, support and administrative).

Further consultations were held with graduates and with a wide range of employers in the services sector, including SMEs, larger corporate companies and marketing agencies. The panel found that, to inform the review, DBS has reviewed key documents such as the government's National Skills Strategy 2025 (2017) and a recent report (Marketing Hiring Trends, 2017) into hiring trends which revealed a growing demand for marketing and digital marketing skills. The report states 44% of companies need to hire more marketeers. The most in-demand expertise listed were digital advertising (27%), content creation and curation (23%) and content strategy (20%). It shows that between 2015 and last year, digital marketing hires grew by 19%. Furthermore, 42% of these hires were permanent positions.

The review process was also informed by the comparator analysis undertaken by DBS and a review of External Examiner reports.

The panel found that the consultation process had been comprehensive and it was concluded that the proposed programme was fit for purpose. Further commentary is provided in Sections 7.2 and 7.3 of this report.

#### 3.2 Achievement of the Programme of its Stated Objectives

The aims, objectives and graduate profile of the programme was outlined. The panel found that the proposed programme learning outcomes have been mapped to the QQI General Awards Standards and satisfy the QQI Generic Awards Standards for the Special Purpose Award at Level 7 it was stated that successful completion of the programme provided learners with the knowledge, skills and competencies required to progress in different areas of the digital marketing and social media sector such as SMEs, general marketing and digital agencies as well as larger corporate employers.

#### 3.3 Learner Profile

The programme is aimed at learners that have achieved a full Level 6 major award (such as Higher Certificate or full equivalent FETAC award) in any discipline and who wish to upskill and specialise in the field of digital marketing or with a view to entering an industry which requires this skill set. Applicants who have at least 3 years' experience working in a business environment may also be considered through the colleges normal RPL procedures.

On completion of this programme, learners will have the Digital Marketing skills necessary to move into various customer focused (either B2C or B2B) industry roles where the presentation of services or products through a digital channel is a key function of the organisation. These learners will also have the competencies in general website design and presentation should they prefer those roles. The learners will gain an understanding of the impact of Digital Marketing on a business and its customers so they can take a more focused view and effectively integrate their knowledge of the digital channel into decision-making in an organisation. Through the assignments and projects as part of the assessment criteria, learners will develop independent research and problem-solving skills which will be valuable in a variety of contexts in the workplace.

Participants who complete this course will be able to pursue opportunities within the digital marketing environment in many different business disciplines and settings.

### 3.4 Learner Performance

The panel reviewed a summary and analysis of the recruitment and outcome statistics for existing programmes over the past five years.

#### Enrolment

The total number of Learners enrolled on the programme from 2014/15 to 2018/19 is 1,020. The number of enrolled Learners decreased from 312 Learners in 2014/15 to 209 Learners in 2017/18. The 138 Learners enrolled on the programme in 2018/19 does not include the March 2019 intake as they were not enrolled at time of writing this report.

The cohort of learned is predominantly from Ireland (over 80%) and they are mature, with over 60% over the age of thirty.

#### Attrition, Transfer, Progression and completion by stage

For the years 2014-2018 an overall average pass rate (total passed enrolled) of 62.24%. Overall, 12.24% of Learners failed the programme, and 25.51% of Learners were non-active

Across intakes and academic years, the pass rate ranged from 49.12% (March intake, Part-time 2015/16) to 80.49% (March intake, Part-time, 2017/18). The pass rate for academic year 2017/18 shows a significant increase across all intakes compared to previous academic years.

At the end of the academic year 2016/17, a review of academic performance on the programme over the previous three years of delivery was undertaken and an Early Alert report was introduced to identify students at risk at an early stage in programme delivery. Additionally, once students had been identified as at risk, interventions were put in place by the Student Engagement Officer and the Course Director where the focus was on encouraging and supporting the student to continue with the programme and not withdrawing. This approach was successful as evidenced by the academic year 2017/18 pass rates compared to previous academic years, and has been introduced across other programmes for the academic year 2018/19.

#### Analysis of grades and QQI award classifications

As this Certificate in Digital Marketing is a pass/fail programme there is no analysis of distinction, merit or any other award classifications.

The average mark in 2014/15 ranges from approximately 45% (B7IS104 and B7MK108) to 60% (B8IS122). The average mark in 2015/16 ranges from approximately 40% (B7IS104) to 65% (B8IS122). The average mark in 2016/17 ranges from approximately 45% (B7IS104 and B7MK110) to 55% (B7MK108 and B8IS112) and the average mark in 2017/18 ranges from approximately 50% (B7IS104) to 65% (B8IS112).

It appears from the figures above that Learners perform consistently poorly in B7IS104 (E-Business) whilst performing much better in B8IS112 (Implementing Digital Media). The module E-Business has been renamed and updated as part of the programme review process to ensure relevancy in the context of digital marketing.

#### Graduate destinations

The programme has been developed to ensure that participants are developing sustainable skills and knowledge that underpin digital marketing.

Taking one of the year's intake as an example of progression (March intake 2016), below outlines the type of companies where learners have progressed:

1. Garda Síochana
2. Catch Events
3. YMCA
4. Sth. East Radio
5. cinemantic.com
6. Adex Ventures
7. Paddy Power
8. Supervalu
9. The Journal
10. Serenity Centre
11. RCPI
12. Adelines Art
13. OPW
14. Carphone Warehouse

#### Types of Roles

Director, Editor, Administration, IT Support, Sales, Marketing Assistant, Digital Marketing Assistant, Visual Artist, Client Associate, Digital Marketing Executive, Digital Marketing Intern, PA, Advertising Operations Manager, Consultant, Digital Selling Consultant.

### 3.5 Quality of the Learning Environment

Commentary was provided on access to lecturers, the use of Moodle as a virtual learning environment and teaching strategy. Programme-specific arrangements for monitoring progress and guiding, informing and caring for learners were also discussed. An outline of physical facilities and resources was also included.

The panel concluded that the learning environment was consistent with the needs of the learners. Further commentary is provided in Sections 7.7, 7.8 and 7.11 of this report.

### 3.6 Suitability of Learner Workload

Through feedback from learners, alumni, external examiners, professional bodies and through review and discussion at team meetings, the panel concluded that the workload was appropriate and noted the willingness of teaching staff to address any issues brought to them by the students.

### 3.7 Effectiveness of Procedures for Assessment

It was noted that all assessment for the programmes conforms to the DBS assessment regulations which are informed by QQI Assessment and Standards Revised 2013. The evaluation of assessment is based on feedback from learners, external examiners, employers, as well as feedback from reviews and validations.

The panel found the assessment processes relating to the programmes to be appropriate. Further commentary is provided in Section 7.10 of this report.

### 3.8 Quality Assurance Arrangements

All DBS quality assurance policies and procedures are detailed in the Quality Assurance Handbook (QAH). This is the first point of reference for all stakeholders involved in the design and monitoring of programmes. The programme under review has been designed to comply with the DBS QAH and, in turn, with QQI's statutory quality assurance guidelines with respect to governance, quality assurance, assessment, access, transfer and progression. It was noted that there were no programme-specific QA requirements and no special accreditation or regulatory requirements for any professional bodies.

### 3.9 Proposed Modifications

Following analysis of the pertinent information regarding the Certificate in Digital Marketing, the following modifications to the current programme are identified:

- The core areas of learning in Digital Marketing should be maintained at Level 7.
- Some module titles to be updated for transparency and to be more representative of current terminology, for example, The Digital Marketing Landscape to replace E-Business.
- Module content should be updated to better reflect the current digital marketing arena.
- Online learning should be introduced where appropriate, as a means to diversify the learning experience and address the changing needs of learners regarding flexibility and mode of delivery of the programme.
- Assessment could be more industry focused.

## 4 Evaluation of the Modified Programme

### 4.1 Report

**See Appendix 1.**

## 5 Outcome of the Review

### 5.1 Summary

The panel concluded that the programme met the criteria. There are six (6) recommendations and six (6) commendations.

<b>Principal programme</b>	<b>Title</b>	Certificate in Digital Marketing
	<b>Award</b>	Special Purpose Award in Arts
	<b>Credit</b>	40 ECTS
	<b>Recommendation</b> <i>Satisfactory</i>	Satisfactory

## 6 Panel

Name	Role	Affiliation
Dr Michael Hall	Chair	Head of Department of Health and Leisure Studies, Institute of Technology, Tralee, Co. Kerry
Dr Patricia McHugh	Panel Member	Lecturer in Marketing and Programme Director, MSc Digital Marketing, School of Business and Economics, NUI Galway
Bairbre Brennan	Panel Member	Assistant Lecturer Technological University Dublin
Jordan Bowe	Student/Learner representative	Marketing Student National College of Ireland
Ian Bolton	Independent Industry Representative	Managing Director Colours International
Mary Jennings	Secretary	Communications Consultant

All members of the panel have declared that they are independent of DBS and have no conflict of interest.



## 7 Appendix 1: independent Programme Review Report

### Part 1

<b>Provider name</b>	DBS
<b>Date of site visit</b>	29 <sup>th</sup> April 2019
<b>Date of report</b>	11 <sup>th</sup> June 2019

	<b>First intake</b>	<b>Last intake</b>
<b>Proposed Enrolment interval</b>	March 2019	September 2023
<b>Maximum number of annual intakes</b>	3 intakes in September, January and March/April	

<b>Principal programme</b>	<b>Title</b>	Certificate in Digital Marketing
	<b>Award</b>	Special Purpose Award in Arts
	<b>Credit</b>	40 ECTS
	<b>Duration<sup>2</sup></b> <i>(years, months, weeks)</i>	Part-time: 2 semesters of 12 weeks each, evening lectures across eight months. Full-time: 2 semesters of 12 weeks each, day lecturers across 8 months
	<b>Recommendation</b> <i>Satisfactory</i>	Satisfactory

---

<sup>2</sup> Expressed in terms of time from initial enrolment to completion

## Evaluators

Name	Role	Affiliation
Dr Michael Hall	Chair	Head of Department of Health and Leisure Studies, Institute of Technology, Tralee, Co. Kerry
Dr Patricia McHugh	Panel Member	Lecturer in Marketing and Programme Director, MSc Digital Marketing, School of Business and Economics, NUI Galway
Bairbre Brennan	Panel Member	Assistant Lecturer Technological University Dublin
Jordan Bowe	Student/Learner representative	Marketing Student National College of Ireland
Ian Bolton	Independent Industry Representative	Managing Director Colours International
Mary Jennings	Secretary	Communications Consultant

## 7.1 Certificate in Digital Marketing

Names of Centres Where the Programmes are to be provided	Maximum number of learners	Minimum number of learners
DBS Dublin Campus	10	450
<b>Target learner groups</b>	<p>The programme is aimed at learners that have achieved a full Level 6 major award (such as Higher Certificate or full equivalent FETAC award) in any discipline and who wish to upskill and specialise in the field of digital marketing or with a view to entering an industry which requires this skill set. Applicants who have at least 3 years' experience working in a business environment may also be considered through the colleges normal RPL procedures.</p> <p>On completion of this programme, learners will have the Digital Marketing skills necessary to move into various customer focused (either B2C or B2B) industry roles where the presentation of services or products through a digital channel is a key function of the organisation. These learners will also have the competencies in general website design and presentation should they prefer those roles. The learners will gain an understanding of the impact of Digital Marketing on a business and its customers so they can take a more focused view and effectively integrate their knowledge of the digital channel into decision-making in an organisation. Through the assignments and projects as part of the assessment criteria, learners will develop</p>	

	<p>independent research and problem-solving skills which will be valuable in a variety of contexts in the workplace.</p> <p>Participants who complete this course will be able to pursue opportunities within the digital marketing environment in many different business disciplines and settings.</p>
<b>Number of learners per intake</b>	????
<b>Countries for provision</b>	Ireland
<b>Delivery mode: Full-time/Part-time</b>	Full-time and part-time
<b>The teaching and learning modalities</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Classroom lectures</li> <li>2. Case-based learning</li> <li>3. Practical skills sessions</li> <li>4. Workshops</li> <li>5. Tutorials</li> <li>6. Individual and group work</li> <li>7. Blended delivery and resources</li> </ol>
<b>Brief synopsis of the programme (e.g. who it is for, what is it for, what is involved for learners, what it leads to.)</b>	<p>This programme is targeted towards the learner who wishes to specialise in the field of digital marketing with a view to entering industry, or those who are working in an industry that requires the input of digital marketing techniques to enhance, or in some cases drive, the overall marketing function and strategy.</p> <p>The massive growth of social media and mobile marketing in recent years means that marketers need a strong skill set and knowledge base that is digital. Therefore, they will need both the visibility and traceability that digital marketing affords.</p> <p>Given how fast the digital marketing arena moves in terms of technologies and practice all modules are written with flexibility in mind. Students are facilitated in exploring new ideas and technologies where applicable. In this way, the programme remains up-to-date with industry trends and best practice. Students will be exposed to both the classroom environment to understand and learn the theoretical concepts and models which will then be applied in a laboratory environment.</p> <p>On completion of the programme the learner will be equipped with the skills needed to work in a digital marketing environment either stand alone as part of a business function. This programme accommodates a wide audience of learners whose specific interests in digital marketing may be either technically focused or business focused.</p> <p>The programme is a 1-year programme with four taught modules each of 10 ECTS which combined lead to a Certificate in Digital Marketing, Level 7 Special Purpose Award. Learners who have taken this programme</p>

	previously have been funded by the HEA Springboard+ fund and this programme will continue to be offered as part of the DBS Springboard+ portfolio.
<b>Specifications for teaching staff</b>	Lecturing staff will have a minimum of a Level 8 Bachelors Honours degree qualification in the following areas:  Marketing: digital marketing; strategic marketing; services marketing; other relevant marketing disciplines. In modules where industry experience is desirable, holders of Level 8 Honours Degree in Marketing who are exceptionally qualified by virtue of senior, significant business experience may also be considered.
<b>Specifications for the ratio of learners to teaching-staff</b>	1/150 Classroom Sessions 1/25 Workshops 1/25 Practical Sessions
<b>Overall WTE staff/learner ratio</b>	1.12/150 =0.01 ratio

Other noteworthy features of the application

## Part 2 Evaluation against the validation criteria

### 7.1 Criterion1: The provider is eligible to apply for validation of the programme

Satisfactory Yes	Comment	Sub criteria
Yes		a) The provider meets the prerequisites (section 44(7) of the 2012 Act) to apply for validation of the programme.
Yes		b) The application for validation is signed by the provider's chief executive (or equivalent) who confirms that the information provided is truthful and that all the applicable criteria have been addressed.
Yes		c) The provider has declared that their programme complies with applicable statutory, regulatory and professional body requirements. <sup>3</sup>

### Certificate in Digital Marketing

As an established provider of higher education programmes DBS has met the prerequisites (section 44(7) of the 2012 Act) to apply for validation of this programme. It was noted that DBS has in place procedures for access, transfer and progression. DBS has also established arrangements for the Protection of Enrolled Learners (PEL) which have been approved by QQI. The panel was informed that DBS is currently taking part in the re-engagement process with QQI and has completed the Pilot Phase. As part of the re-engagement process, policies and procedures were being reviewed.

DBS has provided a copy of the letter to be submitted to QQI with the application for the revalidation of the programmes. The letter contained the signature and declaration required under sub-criteria 1b) and 1c).

7.2 Criterion 2: The programme objectives and outcomes are clear and consistent with the QQI awards sought

Satisfactory Yes	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The programme aims and objectives are expressed plainly.
Yes		b) A QQI award is specified for those who complete the programme.
n/a		(i) Where applicable, a QQI award is specified for each embedded programme.
Yes		c) There is a satisfactory rationale for the choice of QQI award(s).
Yes		d) The award title(s) is consistent with unit 3.1 of QQI's <i>Policy and Criteria for Making Awards</i> .
Yes		e) The award title(s) is otherwise legitimate for example it must comply with applicable statutory, regulatory and professional body requirements.
		f) The programme title and any embedded programme titles are
Yes		(i) Consistent with the title of the QQI award sought.
Yes		(ii) Clear, accurate, succinct and fit for the purpose of informing prospective learners and other stakeholders.
		g) For each programme and embedded programme
Yes		(i) The minimum intended programme learning outcomes and any other educational or training objectives of the programme are explicitly specified. <sup>4</sup>
Yes		(ii) The minimum intended programme learning outcomes to qualify for the QQI award sought are consistent with the relevant QQI awards standards.
Yes		h) Where applicable, the minimum intended module learning outcomes are explicitly specified for each of the programme's modules.
Yes		i) Any QQI minor awards sought for those who complete the modules are specified, where applicable.
Yes		(i) For each minor award specified, the minimum intended module learning outcomes to qualify for the award are consistent with relevant QQI minor awards standards. <sup>5</sup>

## Certificate in Digital Marketing

The panel found that, overall, the aims, objectives and rationale for the programme were expressed clearly and were comprehensive and consistent with a Level 7 Awards on the NQF.

7.3 Criterion 3: The programme concept, implementation strategy, and its interpretation of QQI awards standards are well informed and soundly based (considering social, cultural, educational, professional and employment objectives).

Satisfactory	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The development of the programme and the intended programme learning outcomes has sought out and taken into account the views of stakeholders such as learners, graduates, teachers, lecturers, education and training institutions, employers, statutory bodies, regulatory bodies, the international scientific and academic communities, professional bodies and equivalent associations, trades unions, and social and community representatives. <sup>6</sup>
Yes		b) The interpretation of awards standards has been adequately informed and researched; considering the programme aims and objectives and minimum intended programme (and, where applicable, modular) learning outcomes.
Yes		(i) There is a satisfactory rationale for providing the programme.
Yes		(ii) The proposed programme compares favourably with existing related (comparable) programmes in Ireland and beyond. Comparators should be as close as it is possible to find.
Yes		(iii) There is support for the introduction of the programme (such as from employers, or professional, regulatory or statutory bodies).
Yes		(iv) There is evidence <sup>7</sup> of learner demand for the programme.
Yes		(v) There is evidence of employment opportunities for graduates where relevant <sup>8</sup> .
Yes		(vi) The programme meets genuine education and training needs. <sup>9</sup>
Yes		c) There are mechanisms to keep the programme updated in consultation with internal and external stakeholders.



Yes		d) Employers and practitioners in the cases of vocational and professional awards have been systematically involved in the programme design where the programme is vocationally or professionally oriented.
Yes		e) The programme satisfies any validation-related criteria attaching to the applicable awards standards and QQI awards specifications.

## Certificate in Digital Marketing

The panel was informed of the consultation process undertaken for this review, a description of which is provided in Section 3.1 of this report.

The panel was informed that there was no directly comparable programme at Level 7 in the digital marketing area. The panel was further informed that the programme offered by DBS was consistently oversubscribed, indicating that it met a need for this type of programme and award on this topic.

Information was received in relation to the stakeholder consultation and the panel was satisfied that a comprehensive consultation was carried out with industry representatives, including employers and industry representative bodies. The panel noted that Faculty staff were actively involved in the process of developing the proposed changes to the programme which includes reviewing course content to reflect current trends in a rapidly changing environment.

At the site visit, the panel was informed by staff that feedback from industry has indicated that, in general, there was a requirement for marketing graduates to have broad skills in marketing and that specific competencies in digital marketing were needed in today's business environment. This was particularly so for the SME sector which, in Ireland, the panel learned, has not, as yet, embraced the possibilities that digital technology can offer. This programme aims to equip graduates with the skills necessary to support business and other enterprises. Graduates needed to have the capacity to understand where digital marketing fitted into the needs of the business, i.e., not just be able to 'design a web site' but be able to analyse the need for digital marketing initiatives. This need was reflected in the content of the modules taught, which included digital planning, management, understanding media technologies and online consumer behaviour as well as the theory and practice of digital marketing, the panel found.

The programme offers students the opportunity to upskill in this area of marketing in order to enhance their opportunities for employment or advancement in their careers. The panel was informed that the mix of students for this government funded Springboard programme, had changed over the years: initially the programme was aimed at people who were unemployed and now the programme has 70% of students who are in employment but needed to develop their skills.

The panel found that the SWOT analysis undertaken as part of the review, raised some issues which warranted further consideration by the DBS team. It had been identified through the review process that students needed more exposure to industry speakers and to 'live' problems, have more e-learning capabilities and a requirement for a more interactive MOODLE system, Threats identified included the need to address the changing needs of learners regarding flexibility and mode of delivery and the challenge of keeping pace with advances in digital marketing education and research. The panel concluded that it was not clear what strategies DBS proposed to put in place to

address these weaknesses and threats. The panel noted that there were also opportunities identified including the employer demand for continued education. The panel considered that there may be opportunities for further funding from employers as the SWOT analysis indicated that there was likely to be a continuing demand for the programme.

**It is recommended that DBS address weaknesses, threats and opportunities in the SWOT analysis with strategies to address each one identified through the programmatic review.**

**It is recommended that DBS explore funding opportunities from employers for the programme.**

The panel concluded that the programme met genuine education and training needs in a sector that continued to provide employment opportunities for graduates. See Section 3.4 of this report for further commentary re graduate destinations.

**DBS is commended for the range and breath of its industry links and engagement with large and smaller companies from different sectors.**

7.4 Criterion 4: The programme's access, transfer and progression arrangements are satisfactory

Satisfactory	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The information about the programme as well as its procedures for access, transfer and progression are consistent with the procedures described in QQI's policy and criteria for access, transfer and progression in relation to learners for providers of further and higher education and training. Each of its programme-specific criteria is individually and explicitly satisfied <sup>10</sup> .
Yes		b) Programme information for learners is provided in plain language. This details what the programme expects of learners and what learners can expect of the programme and that there are procedures to ensure its availability in a range of accessible formats.
Yes		c) If the programme leads to a higher education and training award and its duration is designed for native English speakers, then the level of proficiency in English language must be greater or equal to B2+ in the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR <sup>11</sup> ) in order to enable learners to reach the required standard for the QQI award.
Yes		d) The programme specifies the learning (knowledge, skill and competence) that <b>target learners</b> are expected to have achieved before they are enrolled in the programme and any other assumptions about enrolled learners (programme participants).
Yes		e) The programme includes suitable procedures and criteria for the <b>recognition of prior learning</b> for the purposes of access and, where appropriate, for advanced entry to the programme and for exemptions.
		f) The programme title (the title used to refer to the programme):-
Yes		(i) Reflects the core <i>intended programme learning outcomes</i> , and is consistent with the standards and purposes of the

		QQI awards to which it leads, the award title(s) and their class(es).
Yes		(ii) Is learner focused and meaningful to the learners;
Yes		(iii) Has long-lasting significance.
Yes		g) The programme title is otherwise legitimate; for example, it must comply with applicable statutory, regulatory and professional body requirements.

The panel was satisfied that the programme's access, transfer and progression arrangements are clearly articulated and working in practice. Information on access, transfer and progression is available through DBS website, promotional material and the Student Handbooks

As this is a Special Purpose Award has not been developed with international students in mind, the panel noted.

AS the Special Purpose Award does not qualify for a full award, there is no pathway to a higher- level programme. Further commentary is provided in Section 3.4 of this report.

In discussion with students and with staff at the site visit, the panel considered that the opportunities for progression might be more thoroughly outlined for students so that could see options for further study more clearly, either in DBS courses or in other institutes.

**It is recommended that DBS hold focus groups with students on career progression opportunities provided by their studies.**

7.5 Criterion 5: The programme's written curriculum is well structured and fit-for-purpose

Satisfactory Yes	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The programme is suitably structured and coherently oriented towards the achievement by learners of its intended programme learning outcomes. The programme (including any stages and modules) is integrated in all its dimensions.
Yes		b) In so far as it is feasible the programme provides choice to enrolled learners so that they may align their learning opportunities towards their individual educational and training needs.
Yes		c) Each module and stage is suitably structured and coherently oriented towards the achievement by learners of the intended <i>programme</i> learning outcomes.
Yes		d) The objectives and purposes of each of the programme's elements are clear to learners and to the provider's staff.
Yes		e) The programme is structured and scheduled realistically based on sound educational and training principles <sup>12</sup> .
Yes		f) The curriculum is comprehensively and systematically documented.
Yes		g) The credit allocated to the programme is consistent with the difference between the entry standard and minimum intended programme learning outcomes.
Yes		h) The credit allocated to each module is consistent with the difference between the module entry standard and minimum intended module learning outcomes.
Yes		i) Elements such as practice placement and work based phases are provided with the same rigour and attentiveness as other elements.
Yes		j) The programme <b>duration</b> (expressed in terms of time from initial enrolment to completion) and its <b>fulltime equivalent contact time</b> (expressed in hours) are consistent with the difference between the minimum entry standard and award standard and with the credit allocation. <sup>13</sup>

## Certificate in Digital Marketing

The panel noted that the proposed programme will now be mapped to the QQI Generic Award Standard and was further advised that all MIMLOs and MIPLOs have been mapped against the General Award Standard.

The panel was generally satisfied that the programme curriculum was appropriately structured and fit for purpose.

The panel noted the proposed changes as outlined in 3.9 of this report and deemed them to be appropriate.

The panel was concerned that it was not clear that the 10-credit module on Digital Marketing Concepts was different to the module of the same title on the BA (Hons) in Digital Marketing which carried 5 credits and this may cause confusion among stakeholders.

**It is recommended that DBS re-name 10 credit Digital Marketing Concepts to Digital Marketing or other similar name to distinguish it from the 5-credit module of the same title on the BA (Hons) in Digital Marketing.**

In reviewing the module descriptors and following discussion with staff at the site visit, the panel concluded that it was not sufficiently clear that the module on Digital Marketing Environment covered the topic of the ever-changing trends in digital marketing tools.

**It is recommended that DBS amend the content of the module in Digital Marketing Environment to allow for ever-changing trends in digital marketing tools.**

7.6 Criterion 6: There are sufficient qualified and capable programme staff available to implement the programme as planned

Satisfactory Yes	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The specification of the programme's staffing requirements (staff required as part of the programme and intrinsic to it) is precise, and rigorous and consistent with the programme and its defined purpose. The specifications include professional and educational qualifications, licences-to practise where applicable, experience and the staff/learner ratio requirements. See also unit (7.12c).
Yes		b) The programme has an identified complement of staff <sup>14</sup> (or potential staff) who are available, qualified and capable to provide the specified programme in the context of their existing commitments.
Yes		c) The programme's complement of staff (or potential staff) (those who support learning including any employer-based personnel) are demonstrated to be competent to enable learners to achieve the intended programme learning outcomes and to assess learners' achievements as required.
Yes		d) There are arrangements for the performance of the programme's staff to be managed to ensure continuing capability to fulfil their roles and there are staff development <sup>15</sup> opportunities <sup>16</sup> .
Yes		e) There are arrangements for programme staff performance to be reviewed and there are mechanisms for encouraging development and for addressing underperformance.
Yes		f) Where the programme is to be provided by staff not already in post there are arrangements to ensure that the programme will not enrol learners unless a complement of staff meeting the specifications is in post.

## Certificate in Digital Marketing

There was evidence that staff were involved in continuous professional development, acting as external examiners, serving on editorial boards for industry publications, as well as writing articles in business journals, including DBS's own business journal, published by DBS library. As noted in 7.3 above DBS staff have a considerable network with industry and employers and they bring this to bear on the programme. The panel further noted that many were practitioners in the field and brought this experience in bringing up to date content to the programme.

At the site visit, the panel found that there was evidence of good team work and cooperation among staff and a sense of collegiality.

**DBS is commended for the commitment, dedication and enthusiasm of staff.**

**DBS is commended for the team work and collegiality evident among staff.**



7.7 Criterion 7: There are sufficient physical resources to implement the programme as planned

Satisfactory Yes	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The specification of the programme's physical resource requirements (physical resources required as part of the programme and intrinsic to it) is precise, and rigorous and consistent with the programme, its defined purpose and its resource/learner-ratio requirements. See also (7.12d).
Yes		b) The programme has an identified complement of supported physical resources (or potential supported physical resources) that are available in the context of existing commitments on these e.g. availability of:
Yes		(i) suitable premises and accommodation for the learning and human needs (comfort, safety, health, wellbeing) of learners (this applies to all of the programme's learning environments including the workplace learning environment)
Yes		(ii) suitable information technology and resources (including educational technology and any virtual learning environments provided)
Yes		(iii) printed and electronic material (including software) for teaching, learning and assessment
Yes		(iv) suitable specialist equipment (e.g. kitchen, laboratory, workshop, studio) – if applicable
Yes		(v) technical support
Yes		(vi) administrative support
Yes		(vii) company placements/internships – if applicable
Yes		c) If versions of the programme are provided in parallel at more than one location each independently meets the location-sensitive validation criteria for each location (for example staffing, resources and the learning environment).
Yes		d) There is a five-year plan for the programme. It should address
Yes		(i) Planned intake (first five years) and
Yes		(ii) The total costs and income over the five years based on the planned intake.
Yes		e) The programme includes controls to ensure entitlement to use the property

		(including intellectual property, premises, materials and equipment) required.
--	--	--

## Certificate in Digital Marketing

The panel was generally satisfied that there are sufficient physical resources available to implement the programme as planned.

A tour of the physical facilities in the Aungier St and Castle House Campuses was undertaken by the panel. The on-going development and upgrading of common meeting and study areas throughout the campus to facilitate group work and peer study support was acknowledged.

The panel found that the library uses technology effectively to support learners and staff, including access to an assignment planner, Kindle lending facilities, a Registrar of Scholarly Activity as well as a user-friendly search engine to enable ease of search for books and academic journals. It was noted that specialist library staff are employed to assist students to develop their research capabilities and to provided students with academic writing requirements.

The library is engaged in publishing the DBS journals for the School of Arts and the School of Business and Law featuring peer-reviewed research by both staff and students. This initiative is in line with a core pillar of DBS's strategy on achieving academic excellence.

**DBS is commended on the quality of the services provided by the library, particularly support provided to students.**

The panel considered that the information technology facilities were of a high standard, with mobile laboratories available to students throughout the campus, providing ease of access to library and MOODLE resources. The panel noted that the information technology support services had been integrated with other student services, making it easier for students to avail of this service on an on-going basis.

## 7.8 Criterion 8: The learning environment is consistent with the needs of the programme's learners

Satisfactory Yes	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The programme's physical, social, cultural and intellectual environment (recognising that the environment may, for example, be partly virtual or involve the workplace) including resources and support systems are consistent with the intended programme learning outcomes.
Yes		b) Learners can interact with, and are supported by, others in the programme's learning environments including peer learners, teachers, and where applicable supervisors, practitioners and mentors.
Yes		c) The programme includes arrangements to ensure that the parts of the programme that occur in the workplace are subject to the same rigours as any other part of the programme while having regard to the different nature of the workplace.

### Certificate in Digital Marketing

The panel was satisfied that the learning environment is consistent with the needs of learners.

The panel was advised that DBS uses a number of mechanisms to develop and implement supports for students including:

- Learner surveys
- Peer Mentoring Support (with training provided for mentors)
- Student representation on the Academic Board and Board of Studies
- Support for, and engagement with an elected Student Union
- Student services for:
  - Accommodation
  - Counselling and referral services, including specific contact with the provider of mental health for young people, *Jigsaw*
  - Sports and societies, with many student-led events
  - Entertainment
  - Study and meeting spaces within the campus
  - Careers office, providing advice and information on employment opportunities and professional development

The panel concluded that the staff with responsibility for support services were proactive in responding to student feedback for improvements in facilities which was undertaken on a continuous basis. The panel visited the central student hub which had been created and was satisfied that this provided the basis for an integrated approach to providing accessible services.

## 7.9 Criterion 9: There are sound teaching and learning strategies

Satisfactory	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The teaching strategies support achievement of the intended programme/module learning outcomes.
Yes		b) The programme provides authentic learning opportunities to enable learners to achieve the intended programme learning outcomes.
Yes		c) The programme enables enrolled learners to attain (if reasonably diligent) the minimum intended programme learning outcomes reliably and efficiently (in terms of overall learner effort and a reasonably balanced workload).
Yes		d) Learning is monitored/supervised.
Yes		e) Individualised guidance, support <sup>17</sup> and timely formative feedback is regularly provided to enrolled learners as they progress within the programme.

### Certificate in Digital Marketing

The panel was satisfied that there are sound teaching and learning strategies in place for the programme overall.

The panel noted that in the proposed programme an important teaching strategy is the use of group projects as is appropriate for a practical applied programme. The teaching staff informed the panel that this method was an effective way to learn digital marketing skills, such as the creation of web sites and populating these with relevant content, and that they had the additional benefits of teaching skills prized by employers such as team work, communications, creative thinking and problem solving.

Overall, group projects provided an experienced of applying learning to real life situations. The panel concluded that the use of group projects was carefully considered, with processes in place to ensure that any problems arising were dealt with speedily at local level, generally by teaching staff. The panel further concluded that there was a shared understanding among staff of the use and management of group projects as a teaching and learning resource. The panel also noted that, in the SWOT analysis that DBS had identified the need for more 'real live' projects to be included in the mix and indicated that there were plans to develop this aspect using its network of industry contacts which are extensive and generally used to good effect.

**The panel commends DBS on its use and management of group projects as a teaching and learning strategy.**

The panel noted that DBS, through this review, had identified in its SWOT analysis that there was a need to ensure that blended learning (synchronous and asynchronous learning) needed to be in

place to enable DBS to meet the changing needs of learners. It was not clear to the panel what strategy DBS proposed would be put in place to deal with this identified threat.

**It is recommended that DBS explore blended learning format where 'changing needs of learners' in a threat.**

### 7.10 Criterion 10: There are sound assessment strategies

Satisfactory	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) All assessment is undertaken consistently with <i>Assessment Guidelines, Conventions and Protocols for Programmes Leading to QQI Awards</i> <sup>18</sup>
Yes		b) The programme's assessment procedures interface effectively with the provider's QQI approved quality assurance procedures.
Yes		c) The programme includes specific procedures that are fair and consistent for the assessment of enrolled learners to ensure the minimum intended programme/module learning outcomes are acquired by all who successfully complete the programme. <sup>19</sup>
Yes		d) The programme includes formative assessment to support learning.
Yes		e) There is a satisfactory written programme assessment strategy for the programme as a whole and there are satisfactory module assessment strategies for any of its constituent modules. <sup>20</sup>
Yes		f) Sample assessment instruments, tasks, marking schemes and related evidence have been provided for each award-stage assessment and indicate that the assessment is likely to be valid and reliable.
Yes		g) There are sound procedures for the moderation of summative assessment results.
Yes		h) The provider only puts forward an enrolled learner for certification for a particular award for which a programme has been validated if they have been specifically assessed against the standard for that award. <sup>21</sup>

## Certificate in Digital Marketing

The panel was advised that all assessment for the programme conforms to the DBS Assessment Regulations which are informed by QQI Assessment and Standards Revised 2013.

Given the practical nature of the programme, the panel was informed that the assessment strategy was largely based on continuous assessment, with the students producing a project artefact through each module. The panel deemed this to be appropriate and in line with the type of programme proposed.

The panel was informed that the teaching staff had developed a common method of assessing the group and individual components of the project, including overall assessment of the project but including personal reflection by each student so that there was a fair assessment of each learner's effort.



7.11 Criterion 11: Learners enrolled on the programme are well informed, guided and cared for

Satisfactory Yes	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) There are arrangements to ensure that each enrolled learner is fully informed in a timely manner about the programme including the schedule of activities and assessments.
Yes		b) Information is provided about learner supports that are available to learners enrolled on the programme.
Yes		c) Specific information is provided to learners enrolled on the programme about any programme-specific appeals and complaints procedures.
Yes		d) If the programme is modular, it includes arrangements for the provision of effective guidance services for learners on the selection of appropriate learning pathways.
Yes		e) The programme takes into account and accommodates to the differences between enrolled learners, for example, in terms of their prior learning, maturity, and capabilities.
Yes		f) There are arrangements to ensure that learners enrolled on the programme are supervised and individualised support and due care is targeted at those who need it.
Yes		g) The programme provides supports for enrolled learners who have special education and training needs.
Yes		h) The programme makes reasonable accommodations for learners with disabilities <sup>22</sup> .
Yes		i) If the programme aims to enrol international students it complies with the <i>Code of Practice for Provision of Programmes to International Students</i> <sup>23</sup> and there are appropriate in-service supports in areas such as English language, learning skills, information technology skills and such like, to address the particular needs of international learners and enable such learners to

<sup>22</sup> For more information on making reasonable accommodations see [www.AHEAD.ie](http://www.AHEAD.ie) and QQI's Policies, Actions and Procedures for Access, Transfer and Progression for Learners (QQI, restated 2015).

<sup>23</sup> See Code of Practice for Provision of Programmes to International Students (QQI, 2015)



		successfully participate in the programme.
Yes		j) The programme's learners will be well cared for and safe while participating in the programme, (e.g. while at the provider's premises or those of any collaborators involved in provision, the programme's locations of provision including any workplace locations or practice-placement locations).

## Certificate in Digital Marketing

An overview of the support services available to students is outlined in Section 7.8 of this report. The panel noted that the Student Handbooks and website contained relevant information in relation to the supports and services available to students. It was further noted that there were individual handbooks for Dublin with appropriate information included for students.

Students reported that lecturers and staff were generally responsive to requests for support and information. In conversation with staff, they indicated that this process of support for students was routine and part of the overall culture within the college. The panel noted that students indicated that undertaking group assignments provided a basis for good peer support and that lecturers provided support for these projects.

**DBS is commended for the quality of the student experience provided.**

## 7.12 Criterion 12: The programme is well managed

Satisfactory Yes	Comment	Sub-criteria
Yes		a) The programme includes intrinsic governance, quality assurance, learner assessment, and access, transfer and progression procedures that functionally interface with the provider's general or institutional procedures.
Yes		b) The programme interfaces effectively with the provider's QQI approved quality assurance procedures. Any proposed incremental changes to the provider's QA procedures required by the programme or programme-specific QA procedures have been developed having regard to QQI's statutory QA guidelines. If the QA procedures allow the provider to approve the centres within the provider that may provide the programme, the procedures and criteria for this should be fit-for-the-purpose of identifying which centres are suited to provide the programme and which are not.
Yes		c) There are explicit and suitable programme-specific criteria for selecting persons who meet the programme's staffing requirements and can be added to the programme's complement of staff.
Yes		d) There are explicit and suitable programme-specific criteria for selecting physical resources that meet the programmes physical resource requirements, and can be added to the programme's complement of supported physical resources.
Yes		e) Quality assurance <sup>24</sup> is intrinsic to the programme's maintenance arrangements and addresses all aspects highlighted by the validation criteria.
Yes		f) The programme-specific quality assurance arrangements are consistent with QQI's statutory QA guidelines and use continually monitored completion rates and other sources of information that may provide insight into the quality and standards achieved.
Yes		g) The programme operation and management arrangements are coherently documented and suitable.
Yes		h) There are sound procedures for interface with QQI certification.

## Certificate in Digital Marketing

The panel was satisfied that there are effective structures in place for the governance and management of the programme under review. The QAH contains the governance structures for the College and procedures for access, transfer and progression, learner assessments and supports, and teaching and learning. It was noted that the QAH and associated policies and procedures have been developed in line with QQI statutory guidelines. There are not programme-specific quality assurance arrangements required for this programme, the panel noted. See section 3.8 of this report also.

## 8 Overall recommendation to DBS

### Certificate in Digital Marketing

Select one	
Satisfactory	Satisfactory (meaning that it recommends that QQI can be satisfied in the context of unit 2.3) of Core policies and criteria for the validation by QQI of programmes of education and training;
	Satisfactory subject to proposed conditions (specified with timescale for compliance for each condition; these may include proposed pre-validation conditions i.e. proposed ( <b>minor</b> ) things to be done to a programme that almost fully meets the validation criteria before QQI makes a determination); <sup>25</sup>
	Not satisfactory.

#### 8.1 Reasons<sup>26</sup> for the overall recommendation

The panel is satisfied that the criteria have been met.

## 8.2 Summary of recommendations

It is recommended that DBS address weaknesses, threats and opportunities in the SWOT analysis with strategies to address each one identified through the programmatic review.

It is recommended that DBS explore funding opportunities from employers for the programme.

It is recommended that DBS hold focus groups with students on career progression opportunities provided by their studies.

It is recommended that DBS re-name 10 credit Digital Marketing Concepts to Digital Marketing or other similar name to distinguish it from the 5-credit module of the same title on the BA (Hons) in Digital Marketing.

It is recommended that DBS amend the content of the module in Digital Marketing Environment to allow for ever-changing trends in digital marketing tools.

It is recommended that DBS explore blended learning format where 'changing needs of learners' in a threat.

## 8.3 Summary of commendations

DBS is commended for the range and breath of its industry links and engagement with large and smaller companies from different sectors.

DBS is commended for the range and breath of its industry links and engagement with large and smaller companies from different sectors.

DBS is commended on its use and management of group projects as a teaching and learning strategy

DBS is commended for the commitment, dedication and enthusiasm of staff.

DBS is commended for the team work and collegiality evident among staff.

DBS is commended on the quality of the services provided by the library, particularly support provided to students.

DBS is commended for the quality of the student experience provided.

## 9 Declarations of Evaluators' Interests

This report has been agreed by the evaluation panel and is signed on their behalf by the chairperson.

Panel chairperson:

Date:

Signed:

*Ann Lachyall*

*10<sup>th</sup> June 2019*

### 9.1 Disclaimer

The Report of the External Review Panel contains no assurances, warranties or representations express or implied, regarding the aforesaid issues, or any other issues outside the Terms of Reference.

Part 3: Proposed programme schedule

<b>Name of Provider:</b>		Dublin Business School															
<b>Programme Title</b>		Certificate in Digital Marketing															
<b>Award Title</b>		Certificate in Digital Marketing															
<b>Stage Exit Award Title<sup>3</sup></b>		N/A															
<b>Modes of Delivery (FT/PT):</b>		Part-Time / Full-Time															
<b>Teaching and learning modalities</b>		As per module descriptors															
Award Class <sup>4</sup>	Award NFQ level	Award EQF Level	Stage (1, 2, 3, 4, ... or Award Stage):	Credit Numbers <sup>5</sup>	Semester where applicable (Semester 1 or Semester 2)	Module		Total Student Effort Module (hours)				Allocation Of Marks (from the module assessment strategy)			ISCED Subject code		
						Status <sup>1</sup>	NFQ Level <sup>1</sup> where specified	Class (or equiv)	Directed e-learning	Hours of Independent	Work-based	C.A. %	Supervised Project %	Proctored practical demonstrat		Proctored written exam %	
Special Purpose	7	N/A	Award	7				7	N/A	40	2019	414					
<b>Module Title</b> (Up to 70 characters including spaces)																	
Digital Marketing Environment	1	M	7	10ECTS	1			36	36	178	100						
Digital Marketing Concepts	1	M	7	10ECTS	1			36	36	178	100						
Digital Marketing Planning & Management	2	M	7	10ECTS	2			36	36	178	100						
Media Technologies for Marketing	2	M	7	10ECTS	2			36	36	178	100						

<sup>1</sup> Mandatory (m) or elective (E)

## 10 Appendix 2: Agenda

### Programme Review

#### Agenda

Monday, 29th April 2019

#### BA (Hons) in Marketing, Certificate in Digital Marketing

Time	Activity
8.45am–10.00am	Private meeting of panel
10.00am–10.30am	Meeting with Senior Management to discuss: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strategic and institutional issues</li> <li>• Rationale for the development of the programmes</li> </ul>
<b>BA (Hons) in Marketing</b>	
10:30am–11.15am	Dialogue on learning opportunities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Model of provision</li> <li>• Resourcing of proposed programme provision</li> <li>• Staffing of the proposed programme provision</li> </ul>
11.15am–11:45am	Tea/coffee break and private meeting of panel
11:45am–1.15pm	Standards and participation in the programme: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Internal approval process</li> <li>• Access and admission requirements for the programmes</li> <li>• Structure, aims, objectives and intended learning outcomes of the programmes</li> <li>• Curriculum design and content</li> <li>• Assessment</li> </ul>
1.15pm –2.00pm	Lunch and private meeting of panel
<b>Certificate in Digital Marketing</b>	
2.00pm–2:45pm	Dialogue on learning opportunities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Model of provision</li> <li>• Resourcing of proposed programme provision</li> <li>• Staffing of the proposed programme provision</li> </ul>
2.45pm–3.30pm	Standards and participation in the programme: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Internal approval process</li> <li>• Access and admission requirements for the programmes</li> <li>• Structure, aims, objectives and intended learning outcomes of the programmes</li> <li>• Curriculum design and content</li> <li>• Assessment</li> </ul>
3.30pm – 3.45pm	Tea/coffee break
3.45pm–4.30pm	Meet with students and graduates of the programmes Facilities review
4.30pm–5.45pm	Private meeting of panel
5.45pm–6.00pm	Preliminary oral feedback to team



